UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

RON MERCER, KRISTI MERCER, NED NEWHOF, RINDA NEWHOF, AND THE FAIR HOUSING CENTER OF WEST MICHIGAN,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 2:21-cv-11336-NGE-APP Hon. Nancy G. Edmunds Magistrate Judge Anthony P. Patti

VS.

EDWARD ROSE & SONS, INC. d/b/a THE CROSSINGS APARTMENTS AND EDWARD ROSE COMPANY, severally and jointly,

Defendan	ts.

STIPULATION AND ORDER RESOLVING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL WITHOUT PREJUDICE [ECF NO. 34]

NOW COMES Plaintiffs and Defendants, through their attorneys, and hereby submit a *Stipulation and Order Resolving Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel*.

- 1. On December 28, 2021, Plaintiffs filed their Motion to Compel complete responses to their first interrogatories 8 and 9 and request to produce 7 and 14. ECF No. 34.
- 2. On January 11, 2022, Defendants filed their Response in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel. ECF No. 38.
- 3. On January 18. 2022, Plaintiffs filed their Reply to their Motion to Compel. ECF No. 39.

- 4. On January 22, 2022, the parties met and conferred for over an hour and a half as directed by the Court. *See* ECF No. 36.
- 5. Plaintiffs and Defendants reached a resolution of Plaintiffs' pending Motion, with Plaintiffs agreeing to limit the discovery requests to applicants of a two-bedroom, two-bathroom unit in 2020, and Defendants agreeing to supplement applicant materials for applicant results of conditional higher security deposit and conditional-guarantor along with an explanation of the chart produced on ER000631-ER000635.
- 6. The Parties further agreed that Plaintiffs' requests for documents addressed in their pending motion would be reconsidered after the deposition of a 30(b)(6) witness, noticed for February 9, 2022. The Parties also agree that should they not be able to reach an agreement regarding renewed requests for documents resulting from the 30(b)(6) deposition, Plaintiffs' may renew their current motion.
- 7. The Parties hereby stipulate that Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel is resolved, based on Defendants' agreement to supplement responses and without prejudice to renew Plaintiffs' motion should the Parties be unable to reach agreement about a renewed request for documents arising from the 30(b)(6) deposition.
- 8. Defendants are agreeing to this resolution without waiving any objections or privileges if Plaintiffs' motion is renewed.

Respectfully Submitted by:

/s/Robin B. Wagner

Beth M. Rivers (P33614)
Jennifer L. Lord (P46912)
Robin B. Wagner (P79408)
Pitt, McGehee, Palmer, Bonanni &
Rivers, P.C.
117 W. Fourth Street, Suite 200
Royal Oak, MI 48067
248.398.9800
brivers@pittlawpc.com
jlord@pittlawpc.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

rwagner@pittlawpc.com

/s/ Matthew S. Disbrow

Matthew S. Disbrow (P65378) Jennifer L. Muse (P81208) Haba K. Yono (P81114)

Honigman LLP

2290 First National Building 660 Woodward Avenue Detroit, MI 48226-3506

313.465.7000

mdisbrow@honigman.com

jmuse@honigman.com hyono@honigman.com

Attorneys for Defendants

Dated:

SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 25, 2022 <u>Anthony P. Patti</u>

U.S. Magistrate Judge